3412

STEREOCHEMISTRY OF SOME SUBSTITUTED 2-FURYL-AND 2-THIENYL ETHYLENE DERIVATIVES. ¹H NMR STUDY*

Miloslava DANDÁROVÁ, Jaroslav Kováč, Daniel Végh and Vladimír ŽVAK

Department of Organic Chemistry, Slovak Institute of Technology, 812 37 Bratislava

Received October 2nd, 1981

Stereochemistry of (*E*)- and (*Z*)-1-(5-nitro-2-furyl)-2-Y-ethylenes and 1-(5-nitro-2-thienyl)-2-Y-ethylenes (where Y=CH₂O, 4-CH₂C₆H₄O, N₃ and Br) and of *E* and *Z* isomers of methyl 2-methyl-3-(2-furyl)propenoate and methyl 2-methyl-3-(2-thienyl)propenoate was studied by ³H NMR spectroscopy. With 1-(5-nitro-2-furyl)-2-Y-ethylenes, it was found that the preferred conformation of the furyl substituent relative to the side chain double bond is *s*-trans in the *E* isomers and *s*-cis in the *Z* isomers. The *s*-cis conformation is prevailing in both isomers of methyl 2-methyl-3-(2-furyl)propenoate. The *E* and *Z* isomers of all studied thiophene derivatives exist in the *s*-trans conformation.

The study of stereochemistry of some furylethylene derivatives by ¹H NMR spectroscopy was subject of some previous studies of our group¹⁻⁵ and other authors⁶⁻¹⁰. Compounds of two types were studied: (*E*)- and (*Z*)-1-(5-nitro-2-furyl)-2-ethylenes I-IV and 1-(5-nitro-2-thienyl)-2-Y-ethylenes V-VIII; (*Z*)-1-(4-nitro-2-thienyl)-2-bromoethylene (*IX*) was used as a model compound in this series; methyl 2--methyl-3-(2-furyl)propenoate (*X*) and methyl 2-methyl-3-(2-thienyl)propenoate (*XI*). Since these compounds were prepared as the *E* and *Z* isomers which can exist as different conformers owing to the different orientation of the heterocyclic ring, it was possible to observe the relationships between the spectral parameters and the conformation of the 2-furyl- and 2-thienylethylene system, respectively. To determine the preferred conformation, the stereospecific long-range coupling ${}^{5}J_{\rm H,H}$ between the olefinic proton H_A and the H₄ or H₅ proton of the heterocyclic ring, respectively^{9,10}, and the chemical shift of the H₃ proton of the heterocycle were used.

The isomers of compounds I-IX were distinguished using the magnitude of the vicinal coupling constant between the olefinic protons. Its value is larger for the *E*-isomers (12·3-14·0 Hz) than for the *Z*-isomers (6·7-8·5 Hz) see Table I. With furane derivatives I-IV, there is a large difference of the furane ring H₃ proton, chemical shift values ($\Delta \delta = 0.46 - 0.80$ ppm) in the corresponding *E*, *Z* pairs. The proton H₃ in the *Z*-isomers resonates in the lower field than in the *E*-isomers owing

Part CLXIX in the series Furane Derivatives; Part CLXVIII: This Journal, 47, 3288 (1982).

Furane Derivatives

to the deshielding effect of the Y-substituent on the double bond. Chemical shift of the H₄ proton is practically unaffected by the position of the Y-substituent. That indicates a preferred s-cis conformation of Z-isomers of furane derivatives, in agreement with the observed stereospecific long-range coupling constant ${}^{5}J(H_{4}, H_{A})$. The magnitude of this coupling is 0.6–0.7 Hz in the s-cis conformation of the Z-isomers, in which it is enhanced owing to the five bond W arrangement. On the contrary, no such a coupling was observed with E-isomers what confirmed the preferred s-trans conformation.



With thiophene derivatives V-VII, there is no substantial difference in the chemical shift of the H₃ proton in the corresponding isomeric pairs and the coupling constant ${}^{5}J(H_4, H_A)$ is nearly zero. That indicates the *s*-trans conformation of both *E* and *Z* isomers. This conclusion is supported by comparison of the NMR spectra of *VIII* and *IX*. The compound *VIII* was obtained as the *Z*-isomer. To compare the spectral properties, (*Z*)-1-(4-nitro-2-thienyl)-2-bromoethylene (*IX*) in which the coupling between the ethylene proton H_A and the thiophene ring proton H₅ is observable, was used as a model compound. No coupling between H₄ and H_A was observed in the ¹H NMR spectrum of *VIII* whilst in *IX* the coupling constant $J(H_5, H_A) = 0.6$ Hz was found what confirmed the preferred *s*-trans conformation.

The methyl esters of 2-methyl-3-(2-furyl)propenoic acid (X) and 2-methyl-3-(2-thienyl)propenoic acid (XI) were prepared as the E-isomers. The corresponding Z-isomers were obtained by their photoisomerization. The ¹H NMR data are given in Table II. The configuration of substituents on the double bond in the compound X was determined by comparison of the chemical shifts of the olefinic proton measured and calculated using the additive increments¹¹. The comparison of spectral parameters of the corresponding isomeric pairs confirmed the E configuration of the prepared compounds X and XI in which the olefinic proton H_A is more deshielded in the E-isomer than in the Z one ($\Delta \delta = 0.91$ and 0.93 ppm, respectively) as a result

Compound	Isomer	H ₃ ^a	H4 ^à	H _A	Н _в	J _{A,B}	
I	Z	6.70	7.36	5-41	6.49	6.7	
	Ε	6.24	7-30	5.68	7.40	12.8	
11	Ζ	6.88	7.32	5.68	6.87	6.7	
	Ε	6.28	7.26	6.01	7.52	12.4	
III	Ζ	6.90	7.34	5.73	6.70	8.4	
	Ε	6.37	7.30	6.07	7.14	13.5	
IV	Ζ	7.31	7.40	7.14	6.81	8.5	
	Ε	6.51	7.31	7.20	6.96	14.0	
V	Ζ	6.78	7.77	5.65	6.41	6.2	
	Ε	6.70	7.74	6.88	7.18	12.7	
VI	Ζ	6.80	7.79	5.93	6.82	6.3	
· _	Ε	6.75	7.74	6-24	7.30	12-3	
VII	Ζ	6.92	7.79	5-94	6.60	7.8	
	Ε	6-84	7.77	6.29	6.83	13.7	
VIII	Ζ	7.16	7.86	7.31	6.57	8.0	

TABLE I ¹H NMR data (δ , ppm; J, Hz) of compounds I-IX

 ${}^{a}J_{3,4} = 3.9 \text{ (for } I - IV), J_{3,4} = 4.3 \text{ (for } V - VIII); {}^{b}\delta H_{5} = 8.26, J_{3,5} = 1.2, J(H_{A}, H_{5}) = 0.6.$

7.26

6.55

8.0

7.74

Table II

 IX^b

Z

¹H NMR (δ , ppm; J, Hz) of methyl 2-methyl-3-(2-furyl) propenoate (X) and methyl 2-methyl--3-(2-thienyl)propenoate XI

Compound	Isomer	H ₃	H ₄	H ₅	H _A	CH3	OCH3
X ^a	Z	6.95	6.38	7.36	6.52	2.00	3.77
	Ε	6.57	6.45	7.50	7.43	2.19	3.77
XI ^b	Ζ	7.15	6.88	7.28	6.87	2.00	3.68
	E	7.16	6.95	7.39	7.80	2.14	3.68

^a $J_{3,4} = 3.5$, $J_{4,5} = 1.6$, $J(H_A, CH_3) = 1.2$ (for *E* and *Z*); ^b $J_{3,4} = 3.4$, $J_{4,5} = 5.0$, $J(H_A, CH_3) = 1.0$ (for E = Z).



of the methoxycarbonyl group anisotropy¹². The preferred conformation of the furane and thiophene substituents was determined analoguously to the compounds I-VIII using the long-range coupling constants between H_A and H₄ and between H_A and H_5 , respectively (methyl decoupled spectra). With methyl (E)-2-methyl--3- (2-furyl)propenoate (X), the coupling constant $J(H_4, H_4) = 0.5$ Hz was found; with its Z isomer the value was 0.6 Hz; no coupling between H_A and H_5 was observed. The proton H_3 in the Z isomer is deshielded owing to the COOCH₃ group anisotropy of $\Delta \delta = 0.38$ ppm. These results show that the s-cis conformation is prevailing in both isomers. The conformation s-cis in the E isomer is also confirmed by the results of the NOE experiment in which the irradiation of the double bond CH₂ protons causes a 24% increase in intensity of the H3 signal. The coupling constant $J(H_s, H_{\star}) = 0.7$ Hz in both E and Z isomers of methyl 2-methyl-3-(2-thienyl)propenoate (XI); no coupling between H_4 and H_A was observed. The chemical shift of H₃ is not affected by the configuration of substituents on the double bond. No intensity enhancement of the H₃ proton signal was observed in an analogous NOE experiment. These results confirm the s-trans conformation in E and Z isomers of the compound XI.



Collection Czechoslovak Chem. Commun. [Vol. 47] [1982]

The preferred *s*-trans conformation was found in all studied thiophene derivatives. Unlike to the furane nucleus, the change of the double bond configuration does not affect the orientation of the thiohene ring. Similar differences in the conformational properties of furane and thiophene were also found in other furyl- and thienylethylene derivatives^{6,13}. The existence of *s*-*cis* conformation in *Z* isomers of furylethylene derivatives can be explained by a decrease in stability of the *s*-*trans* conformation resulting from the electrostatic repulsion between the heterocyclic oxygen and the double bond substituent.

EXPERIMENTAL

¹H NMR spectra were measured at 80 MHz on a Tesla BS 487 C spectrometer in deuteriochloroform at 25°C using tetramethylsilane as an internal standard. The signals were assigned by the INDOR technique, the long-range couplings were proved by decoupling experiments.

(4-Methylphenyl)-5-nitro-2-furylvinyl ether⁴ (*II*), 5 nitro-2-furylvinyl azide¹⁴ (*III*), 5-nitro-2-furylvinyl bromide¹⁵ (*IV*), (4-methylphenyl)-5-nitro-2-thienylvinyl ether¹⁶ (*VI*), 5-nitro-2-thienylvinyl bromide¹⁶ (*VIII*), methyl 2-methyl-3-(2-furyl)-propenoate¹⁷ (*X*) and methyl 2-methyl-3-(2-thienyl)propenoate¹⁸ (*XI*) were described in our previous papers. 4-Nitro-2-thienyl vinyl bromide (*IX*) was obtained in the 10% yield as a side product in the preparation of *VIII* according to the ref.¹⁶.

Methyl 5-nitro-2-furylvinyl ether (I): The solution of 5-nitro-2-furylvinyltrimethylammonium bromide (55 g, 0-02 mol) in methanol (20 ml) was mixed with the solution of CH_3ONa (1·1 g) in methanol (10 ml) and stirred 1 h. The solvent was removed and the residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel (150–250 mesh) using benzene as a mobile phase. Crystalline product (1 g, 27%), containing the mixture of Z and E isomers in the ratio 3 : 2, was obtained. The isomers were separated by fractional crystallization from hexane. The Z isomer has m.p. 122°C, the E isomer has m.p. 45–46°C.

Methyl 5-nitro-2-thienylvinyl ether (V) was prepared from 5-nitro-2-thienylvinyltrimethylammonium bromide by a process analogous to that used for I.

Isomerization of esters X and XI was achieved by irradiation of the tetrachloromethane solution of the compound by a medium-pressure mercury lamp Tesla RVK 125. The solvent was removed and so obtained mixture of E and Z isomers was studied by ¹H NMR spectroscopy.

REFERENCES

- 1. Černayová M., Kováč J., Dandárová M., Hasová B., Palovčík R.: This Journal 41, 764 (1976).
- 2. Štetinová J., Kováč J., Surá J., Dandárová M.: This Journal 42, 2201 (1977).
- 3. Považanec F., Kováč J., Dandárová M.: Chem. Zvesti 32, 397 (1978).
- 4. Dandárová M., Kováč J., Végh D.: This Journal 45, 3557 (1980).
- 5. Kutschy P., Kristian P., Dandárová M., Kováč J.: This Journal 46, 1160 (1981).
- 6. Fisichella S., Mineri G., Scarlata G., Sciotto D.: Tetrahedron 31, 2445 (1975).
- 7. Bottino F. A., Mineri G., Sciotto D.: Tetrahedron 34, 1557 (1978).
- 8. Haslinger E., Wolschann P.: Org. Magn. Resonance 9, 1 (1977).
- 9. Huckerby T. N.: Tetrahedron Lett. 1971, 353.
- 10. Parr W. J. E., Wasylishen R. E., Schaefer T.: Can. J. Chem. 54, 3216 (1976).

3416

- Matter U. E., Pascual C., Pretsch E., Pross A., Simon W., Sternhell S.: Tetrahedron 25, 691 (1969).
- 12. Jackmann L. M., Willey R. M.: J. Chem. Soc. 1960, 2886.
- 13. Arcoria A., Bottino F. A., Sciotto D.: J. Heterocycl. Chem. 14, 1353 (1977).
- 14. Végh D., Kováč J., Považanec F.: This Journal 43, 3404 (1978).
- 15. Végh D., Kováč J., Hasová B.: This Journal 41, 614 (1976).
- 16. Végh D., Kováč J., Dandárová M.: Tetrahedron Lett. 1980, 969.
- 17. Žvak V., Kováč J., Kríž M.: This Journal 45, 906 (1980).
- 18. Žvak V., Kováč J., Dandárová M., Kríž M., Gracza T.: Thir Journal, in press.

Translated by P. Sedmera.